Page 1 of 2

DOCKET NO.: 2008-0644-PST-E **TCEQ ID:** RN102489226 **CASE NO.**: 35759 **RESPONDENT NAME:** C & L Diamond Liquor, Inc. dba Diamond Famous Fried Chicken

ORDER TYPE:						
X_1660 AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING				
FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	_SHUTDOWN ORDER	IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER				
AMENDED ORDER	_EMERGENCY ORDER					
CASE TYPE:						
AIR	MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE				
PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	X_PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION				
WATER QUALITY	SEWAGE SLUDGE	UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL				
MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	RADIOACTIVE WASTE	DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION				
OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: A c release detection for underground storage tal location. INTERESTED PARTIES: A complaint wa Agenda. COMMENTS RECEIVED: The Texas Region of the Texas Regio	No complaint was received January 11, 2008, alleging the laks ("USTs"). There is no record of additional pends as received, but the complainant has not expressed a pister comment period expired on September 15, 200 per: None cr: Mr. Thomas Greimel, Enforcement Division, Enforcement	desire to protest this action or to speak at 08. No comments were received. orcement Team 6, MC 128, (512) 239-5690;				
Worth, Texas 76116 Ms. Kae Saephan, Company Representative, C & L Diamond Liquor, Inc., 2716 Las Vegas Trail, Fort Worth, Texas 76116 Respondent's Attorney: Not represented by counsel on this enforcement matter						
		TEXAS OWALITY OUALITY F CLERKS OFFI				

DOCKET NO.: 2008-0644-PST-E

VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART:		
VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED
Type of Investigation: X. Complaint — Routine — Enforcement Follow-up — Records Review Date(s) of Complaints Relating to this Case: January 11, 2008 Date of Investigation Relating to this Case: February 7, 2008 Date of NOV/NOE Relating to this Case: March 12, 2008 (NOE) Background Facts: This was a complaint investigation. WASTE 1) Failure to inspect and test the cathodic protection system for operability and adequacy of protection at a frequency of at least once every three years. Specifically, the triennial test had not been conducted [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.49(c)(4) and Tex. WATER Code § 26.3475(a)]. 2) Failure to monitor USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring) [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and Tex. WATER Code § 26.3475(c)(1)]. 3) Failure to provide proper release detection for piping associated with the USTs [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(2) and Tex. WATER Code § 26.3475(a)]. 4) Failure to test the line leak detectors at least once per year for performance and operational reliability [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(2)(A)(i)(III) and Tex. WATER Code § 26.3475(a)]. 5) Failure to conduct effective manual or automatic inventory control procedures for the UST system at the Facility [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 26.3475(a)]. 5) Failure to conduct effective manual or automatic inventory control procedures for the UST system at the Facility [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.48(c)].	Total Deferred: \$1,899	Corrective Actions Taken: The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent has implemented the following corrective measures at the Facility: a. The TCEQ Dallas/Fort Worth Regional Office received documentation verifying that the Respondent repaired the sacrificial anodes and tested the cathodic protection system for operability and adequacy of protection on March 28, 2008; b. The TCEQ Dallas/Fort Worth Regional Office received documentation verifying that the Respondent implemented a release detection method for the USTs on March 3, 2008; c. The TCEQ Dallas/Fort Worth Regional Office received documentation verifying that the Respondent conducted the required annual piping tightness test and successfully tested the line leak detectors for performance and operational reliability on February 11, 2008; and d. The TCEQ Dallas/Fort Worth Regional Office received documentation verifying that the Respondent began conducting inventory control procedures on February 29, 2008.

Pallan Politica 2 (Soot	-	n Worksheet (PCW)	DCW Povinian Innuary 20, 2008
Policy Revision 2 (Sept	ember 2002)		PCW Revision January 29, 2008
DATES Assigned	7-Feb-2008		
PCW	17-Apr-2008 Screening 17-Apr-2008	EPA Due	
FGVV	17-Apr-2006 Screening 17-Apr-2006	LrAbde	
RESPONDENT/FACILITY	INFORMATION		
	C & L Diamond Liquor, Inc. dba Diamond Fa	mous Fried Chicken	
Reg. Ent. Ref. No.			
Facility/Site Region		Major/Minor Source Minor	
Control of the Contro			The second secon
CASE INFORMATION			
Enf./Case ID No.		No. of Violations 3	
	2008-0644-PST-E	Order Type 1660	
, – · · · -	Petroleum Storage Tank	Enf. Coordinator Thomas	
Multi-Media		EC's Team Enforcer	nent Team 6
Admin. Penalty \$ L	imit Minimum \$0 Maximum	\$10,000	
,			
	Penalty Calcula	tion Section	2
TOTAL DASE DENAL	TY (Sum of violation base penaltie	s) Subto	stal 1 \$7,500
TOTAL BASE FENAL	11 (Sull of violation base penaltie	Subio	ψ1,300
ADJUSTMENTS (+/-)	TO SUBTOTAL 1		
	ed by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by	the indicated percentage.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Compliance Histo		Enhancement Subtotals 2, 3	. & 7 \$450
r Santagara			,
Notes	Enhancement for three previous NOVs	without same or similar	
	violations.	·	Washington and the state of the
L			
Culpability	No 0%	Enhancement Subto	tal 4 \$0
Notes	The Respondent does not meet the	culpability criteria.	***************************************
L			
Good Faith Effort	to Comply 10%	Reduction Subto	tal 5 \$750
1	Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	TO THE STATE OF TH	
Extraordinary			
Ordinary	X		
N/A	(mark with x)		***************************************
N1-4	The Despendent same into compliance	on March 28, 2008	
Notes	The Respondent came into compliance	e on March 26, 2006.	
[13.5] [13.5] [13.5] [13.5]			
	The state of the s	Enhancement* Subto	tal 6 \$0
		at the Total EB \$ Amount	***************************************
другох. С	Cost of Compliance \$4,000		****
SUM OF SUBTOTALS	: 4:7	Final Sub	total \$7,200
SUM OF SUBTOTALS) HT/	and Sub	totar
OTHER EACTORS AS	JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE	32% Adjusti	ment \$2,299
	btotal by the indicated percentage.	32% Adjusu	11ent \$2,299
Legaces of enhances the final of	blotal by the indicated percentage.		***************************************
Notes	Recommended enhancement to captu		***************************************
140103	compliance associated with Violat	ion Nos. 1 and 2.	
L		Final Penalty Am	ount \$9,499
oderación de la constante de l			
STATUTORY LIMIT A	DUISTMENT	Final Assessed Per	nalty \$9,499
CARLO I CIRI EINII I A		i iiai Assessedi Fel	
DEFERRAL		20% Reduction Adjusti	ment -\$1,899
	lty by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only; e.g		
Todacco are i mai Assessed Pella	, 2, are more percentage. Little number only, e.g.	. 20 .01 20 10 10 00 00 10 11.)	Opposed
Notes	Deferral offered for expedited	settlement	
110102	bolonal offered for expedited	2 COLLOTTOTIL	
L			
PAYABLE PENALTY			\$7,600
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			ψ,,500,

Screening Date 17-Apr-2008

Docket No. 2008-0644-PST-E

Respondent C & L Diamond Liquor, Inc. dba Diamond Famous Fried

Case ID No. 35759

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision January 29, 2008

Adjust.

0%

2010年3月份指数4月2日

Enter Number Here

>> Compliance History Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2) Component Number of...

NOVs

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102489226

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

(number of NOVs meeting criteria)

Enf. Coordinator Thomas Greimel

Compliance History Worksheet

Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action

l l			
	Other written NOVs	3	6%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	. 0	0%
and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%
	Pleas	e Enter Yes or No	
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	. No	0%
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
J Guioi	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
peat Violator (Sı	Adjustment Pe	• .	•
No	Adjustment Pe	ercentage (Su	ıbtotal 3)
mpliance Histor	y Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		•
Average F	Performer Adjustment Pe	ercentage (Su	ıbtotal 7)
mpliance Histor	y Summary		
Compliance History Notes	Enhancement for three previous NOVs without same or similar violations.		
			1

Screening Date	•		No. 2008-0644-PST-E	PCW
		or, Inc. dba Diamond Famous	Fried Chicken	Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No.				PCW Revision January 29, 2008
Reg. Ent. Reference No.				
	Petroleum Storage	Tank		
Enf. Coordinator				
Violation Number	<u> </u>			
Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Adm	nin. Code § 334.49(c)(4) and T	ex. Water Code § 26.3475(d)	
Violation Description		nd test the cathodic protection a frequency of at least once ev triennial test had not beer	very three years. Specifically,	
			Base I	Penalty \$10,000
>> Environmental, Property a	and Human Healt	h Matrix		!
		rm		
Release		erate Minor		
OR Actual	<u> </u>		Porcent 250/	
Potential	x		Percent 25%	
>>Programmatic Matrix				
Falsification	Major Mode	erate Minor		
			Percent 0%	
		could be exposed to pollutant lth or environmental receptors		hat are
			Adjustment	\$7,500
				£2.500
soulcion -				\$2,500
Violation Events			The many	
Number of Vic	olation Events 1	50	Number of violation days	
	daily			
mark only one with an x	monthly quarterly semiannual annual	(Violation Base I	Penalty \$2,500
	single event			
One quarter	ly event is recommen	ded from the February 7, 2008 2008 compliance date.	3 investigation date to the Mar	rch 28,
Economic Benefit (EB) for th	is violation		Statutory Limit Test	
	d EB Amount	\$1,203	Violation Final Penalt	ty Total \$3,166
	· h			
		This violation Final Ass	sessed Penalty (adjusted for	limits) \$3,166

etaustt i i i	E	conomic E	Benefit W	orks	heet		
Respondent	C & L Diamond	Liquor, Inc. dba Dia	amond Famous F	ried Chic	ken		
Case ID No.	35759						
Rea. Ent. Reference No.	RN102489226						
	Petroleum Stora	age Tank				ALLONDO TOTAL TOTA	Years of
Violation No.		ago rank				Percent Interest	Depreciation
Violation No.	T .					_ 11	
					en e	5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$	위에 발생하다 보인다. 					
Delayed Costs	. 1844				194, 184, 244, 617		
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.0	\$0	Kilom in/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs	ANN	UALIZE (1) avoide	ed costs before e	enterina	item (except for	one-time avoided c	osts)
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	\$1,000	7-Feb-2005	28-Mar-2008	4.1	\$203	\$1,000	\$1,203
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs	Avoided cost	for completing the			ed is three years pliance date.	orior to the investigat	ion date. Final
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$1,000			TOTAL		\$1,203

Screenin	g Date 17-Apr-2008		Docket No. 2008-0644-PST-E	Ξ	PCW
Respo	ondent C & L Diamor	nd Liquor, Inc. dba Diamon	d Famous Fried Chicken	Policy Re	vision 2 (September 2002)
Case	ID No . 35759			PCW I	Revision January 29, 2008
Reg. Ent. Referen	ce No. RN10248922	o			
- Media [S	tatute] Petroleum Sto	orage Tank			
Enf. Coord	linator_Thomas Grein	mel			
Violation I	Number 2				
Rule	e Cite(s) 30 Tex. Adn		x), (b)(2), (b)(2)(A)(i)(III) and Tex. 75(a) and (c)(1)	Water Code §	
Violation Des	cription exceed 35 d for the pipir	ays between each monitor g associated with the UST	a frequency of at least once every ing). Failed to provide proper rele s. Also, failed to test the line lead formance and operational reliabili	ease detection k detectors at	THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O
				Base Penalty	\$10,000
>> Environmental, Pro	perty and Human	Health Matrix			
The state of the s	Land 18 and a sale of district	Harm			
1.01 - 42 (1954)	Release Major	Moderate Minor	===		
OR	Actual				
F	Potential x		Percent 2	25%	***
			Control of the State of Control of Contr		
>>Programmatic Matri:	k fication Major	Moderate *Minor			
T dist	noation wajor	Woderate Willion	. Percent	0%	
				0 78	
Matrix Hum Notes			o pollutants which would exceed I receptors as a result of the viola		mangrin manananananananananananananananananana
			Adjustment	\$7,500	
e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e				Г	\$2,500
Violation Events					
Numb	er of Violation Events		25 Number of violation	an douc	
Nullib	er or violation Events	1	25 Number of violation	on days	
	daily	1			West of the second seco
	monthly				
mark	only one quarterly	Х	Violation	Base Penalty	\$2,500
witt	^{n an x} semiannual			_	
	annual				a currage vers
	single event				***************************************
One	e quarterly event is rec	ommended from the Febru 2008 complian	uary 7, 2008 investigation date to ace date.	the March 3,	
Economic Benefit (EB)	for this violation		Statutory Limi	t Test	7.7
Es	stimated EB Amount	\$1,102	Violation Fina	l Penalty Total	\$3,166
		- 7,102	٠.٠٠.٠٠٠١١١١١		75,.00
		This violation	n Final Assessed Penalty (adjus	sted for limits)	\$3,166
					The state of the s

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent C & L Diamond Liquor, Inc. dba Diamond Famous Fried Chicken

Case ID No. 35759

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102489226

Media Petroleum Storage Tank Violation No. 2

Percent Interest Years of Depreciation

Delayed Costs_

Equipment Buildings Other (as needed) Engineering/construction Land Record Keeping System Training/Sampling Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed)

ene establish	Algebra (1997)		186			
			0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
			0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
			0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
			0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
			0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
			0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
			0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
			0.0	\$0	n/a-state.	\$0
			0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
\$1,500	7-Feb-2008	3-Mar-2008	0.1	\$5	n/a	\$5

Notes for DELAYED costs

Estimated cost to provide release detection for the USTs. Date Required is the date of the investigation. Final Date is the date of compliance.

Avoided Costs
Disposal
Personnel
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling
Supplies/equipment
Financial Assurance [2]
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]
Other (as needed)

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)							
			0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
			0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
			0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
			0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
			0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
\$1,000	7-Feb-2007	11-Feb-2008	1.9	\$96	\$1,000	\$1,096	
			0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Avoided cost for the annual piping tightness test and line leak detector test. Date Required is one year prior to the investigation date. Final Date is the compliance date.

Approx. Cost of Compliance

\$2,500

TOTAL

\$1,102

	ening Date 17-Apr-2008		Oocket No. 2008-0644-PST-E		PCW
		nd Liquor, Inc. dba Diamond	Famous Fried Chicken	Polic _y R	evision 2 (September 2002)
•	Case ID No. 35759			PCW	Revision January 29, 2008
-	erence No. RN10248922				
	ia [Statute] Petroleum St				
	oordinator Thomas Greation Number 3	mel 1			
VIOIA	Rule Cite(s)				1
	raic one(s)	30 Tex. Admin	. Code § 334.48(c)		
Violation	n Description Failed to c		ntomatic inventory control procedunated in at the Facility.		
			E	Base Penalty	\$10,000
>> Environmental.	Property and Human	Health Matrix		1	
	i delementation (description) in the communication of the communication	Harm		1.2	
	Release Major	Moderate Minor			
OR	Actual		D4		
	Potential x		Percent 25	% j	
>>Programmatic N	// atrix				
	Falsification Major	Moderate Minor			
			Percent 0º	%	ar- vari
					100
Matrix Notes	Human health or the envir protective of hum	onment could be exposed to plan health or environmental re	pollutants which would exceed leveceptors as a result of the violation	vels that are on.	
			Adjustment	\$7,500	
	er and the second of the secon				
					\$2,500
Violation Events					
1	Number of Violation Events	1	22 Number of violation	days	
	mark only one with an x mark only one annual annual single event	X	Violation B	ase Penalty∫	\$2,500
	One quarterly event is reco	nmended from the February 2008 compliance	7, 2008 investigation date to the leads.	February 29,	
Economic Benefit	(EB) for this violation		Statutory Limit ¹	Test	LE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTO
	Estimated EB Amount	\$2	Violation Final P	onalty Total	\$3,166
	Lottinated ED Amount	ΨΖ	Violation Fillal F	enaity (Old)	φυ, 100
		This violation F	Final Assessed Penalty (adjuste	d for limits)	\$3,166

	· E	conomic I	Benefit W	orks	sheet		
Respondent	C & L Diamond	Liquor, Inc. dba Di	amond Famous Fi	ried Chi	cken		
Case ID No.	35759	·					
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN102489226						•
2	Petroleum Stor	aga Tank				E	Years of
Violation No.		age rank				Percent Interest	Depreciation
Violation No.	3					1	•
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$	A Secretary Control					
Delayed Costs	•						
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	⊮ n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a .	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$500	7-Feb-2008	29-Feb-2008	0.1	\$2	n/a	\$2
	Estimated cos	t to conduct invent	ary control proceds	Ires Th	ne Date Renuiren i	is the date of the invi	estigation. The
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs		it to conduct invento	Final Date is	the date	of compliance.	one-time avoided c	osts)
Avoided Costs			Final Date is	ntering	item (except for	one-time avoided o	osts) \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel			Final Date is	ntering 0.0 0.0	item (except for \$0 \$0	one-time avoided o	osts) \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling			Final Date is	ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0	item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided c	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment			Final Date is	ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]			Final Date is	ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]			Final Date is	ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]			Final Date is	ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]			Final Date is	ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)			Final Date is	ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

Compliance History

Custon	ner/Respondent/Owner-Operator:	CN602927774	C & L Diamond Li	quor, Inc.	Classification: AVERAGE	Rating: 3.60
Regula	ted Entity:	RN102489226	DIAMOND FAMO	OUS FRIED CHICKE	N Classification: AVERAGE	Site Rating: 3.60
ID Num	nber(s):	PETROLEUM ST REGISTRATION	ORAGE TANK	REGI	STRATION	380
Location	1:		TRL, FORT WORT	H, TX, 76116	Rating Date: September 01 0 NO	7 Repeat Violator:
TCEQ	Region:	REGION 04 - DFV	V METROPLEX			
Date C	ompliance History Prepared:	April 17, 2008				
Agency	Decision Requiring Compliance History:	Enforcement			,	
Complia	ance Period:	April 17, 2003 to A	pril 17, 2008			
TCEQ :	Staff Member to Contact for Additional Info	mation Regarding this	Compliance History			
Name:	Thomas Greimel	Ph	one: (512) 2	39-5690		
		Site	Compliance Histo	ry Components		
1 Hac	the site been in existence and/or operation		•	Yes		
	there been a (known) change in ownership			Yes		
period?	` , , ,	of the site during the c	ompliance	. 55		
3. If Ye	s, who is the current owner?			C&L	Diamond Liquor, Inc.	
4. if Ye	es, who was/were the prior owner(s)?			Crow's	Discount Liquor, Inc.	
				White	Moon Enterprises Incorporated	
5. Whe	en did the change(s) in ownership occur?			05/12/		
				09/23/	2005	_
Comp	onents (Multimedia) for the Site :					
A.	Final Enforcement Orders, court judger	ments, and consent de	crees of the state of	Texas and the federa	al government.	
	N/A					
В.	Any criminal convictions of the state of	Texas and the federal	government.			
	N/A		-			
C.	Chronic excessive emissions events.					
	N/A					
D.	The approval dates of investigations. (0	CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)			
	1 04/09/2004 (268754)					
	2 07/14/2006 (485618)					
,	3 10/16/2006 (511687) 4 10/12/2007 (573293)					
	5 11/14/2007 (598148)					
E.	Written notices of violations (NOV). (Co	CEDS Inv. Track. No.)				
	Date: 04/12/2004 (2687	54)				
	Self Report? NO			Classification:	Moderate	
		apter 115, SubChapte				
	•	5.245(2) Failure to ver every twelve months a		of the Stage II equipr	ment at	
	Date: 07/14/2006 (4856	•	0 104411041			
	Self Report? NO			Classification:	Moderate	
		apter 115, SubChapte				
		onduct the daily inspec	ctions.	-	Mederate	
	Self Report? NO		~ C 445 044(0)	Classification:	Moderate	
	-	apter 115, SubChapte perform the monthly Sta				
		onomi de mondig St	до гимреовон.	Classification;	Moderate	
	Gam (14)	anter 115, SubChante	r C 115 2/8(1)	Giaconioanon,		

Employees have not been trained on the Stage II system.

Description:

Self Report?

Classification:

Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.248(2)

Description:

No one trained as Facility Representative within 90 days of the departure of

previously trained employee.

Self Report?

NO

Classification:

Moderate

Citation: Description: 30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.242(3)(A)

Vapor return lines were missing the required CARB-approved devices, which prevent the loosening and/or over-tightening of the Stage I adapters.

Date: 10/12/2007

Self Report?

(573293)

Classification:

Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.245(2)

Description: Self Report? Failure to conduct annual testing by the due date of December 31, 2006.

Classification:

Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.242(3)(C)(iii)

Description:

The nozzle on Dispenser #2 had a boot with a tear that was greater than one

F. Environmental audits.

N/A

Type of environmental management systems (EMSs). G.

Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates. Н.

N/A

Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

J. Early compliance.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN ENFORCEMENT ACTION **CONCERNING** C & L DIAMOND LIQUOR, INC. DBA DIAMOND FAMOUS FRIED **CHICKEN** RN102489226

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

TEXAS COMMISSION ON

BEFORE THE

AGREED ORDER **DOCKET NO. 2008-0644-PST-E**

8888

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the At its Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding C & L Diamond Liquor, Inc. dba Diamond Famous Fried Chicken ("the Respondent") under the authority of TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEO, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

- The Respondent owns and operates a convenience store with retail sales of gasoline at 2716 Las 1. Vegas Trail in Fort Worth, Tarrant County, Texas (the "Facility").
- 2. The Respondent's three underground storage tanks ("USTs") are not exempt or excluded from regulation under the Texas Water Code or the rules of the Commission.
- 3. The Commission and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about 4. March 17, 2008.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.

•

6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Nine Thousand Four Hundred Ninety-Nine Dollars (\$9,499) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid Two Hundred Fifteeen Dollars (\$215) of the administrative penalty and One Thousand Eight Hundred Ninety-Nine Dollars (\$1,899) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

The remaining amount of Seven Thousand Three Hundred Eighty-Five Dollars (\$7,385) of the administrative penalty shall be payable in 35 monthly payments of Two Hundred Eleven Dollars (\$211) each. The next monthly payment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. The subsequent payments shall each be paid not later than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment until paid in full. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with the payment requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may, at the Executive Director's option, accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice. In addition, the failure of the Respondent to meet the payment schedule of this Agreed Order constitutes the failure by the Respondent to timely and satisfactorily comply with all the terms of this Agreed Order.

- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and the Respondent have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
- 9. The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent has implemented the following corrective measures at the Facility:
 - a. The TCEQ Dallas/Fort Worth Regional Office received documentation verifying that the Respondent repaired the sacrificial anodes and tested the cathodic protection system for operability and adequacy of protection on March 28, 2008;
 - b. The TCEQ Dallas/Fort Worth Regional Office received documentation verifying that the Respondent implemented a release detection method for the USTs on March 3, 2008;
 - c. The TCEQ Dallas/Fort Worth Regional Office received documentation verifying that the Respondent conducted the required annual piping tightness test and successfully tested the line leak detectors for performance and operational reliability on February 11, 2008; and
 - d. The TCEQ Dallas/Fort Worth Regional Office received documentation verifying that the Respondent began conducting inventory control procedures on February 29, 2008.
- 10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.

- 11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Facility, the Respondent is alleged to have:

- 1. Failed to inspect and test the cathodic protection system for operability and adequacy of protection at a frequency of at least once every three years, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.49(c)(4) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(a), as documented during an investigation conducted on February 7, 2008. Specifically, the triennial test had not been conducted.
- 2. Failed to monitor USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring), in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(c)(1), as documented during an investigation conducted on February 7, 2008.
- 3. Failed to provide proper release detection for piping associated with the USTs, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(2) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(a), as documented during an investigation conducted on February 7, 2008.
- 4. Failed to test the line leak detectors at least once per year for performance and operational reliability, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(2)(A)(i)(III) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(a), as documented during an investigation conducted on February 7, 2008.
- 5. Failed to conduct effective manual or automatic inventory control procedures for the UST system at the Facility, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.48(c), as documented during an investigation conducted on February 7, 2008.

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: C & L Diamond Liquor, Inc. dba Diamond Famous Fried Chicken, Docket No. 2008-0644-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 3. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 4. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 5. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- 6. This agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreement may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.
- 7. Under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

.

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission	
Tor the Commission	
For the Executive Director	9/17/2008 Date
attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity inc	attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to agree to the licated below my signature, and I do agree to the terms owledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the esentation.
 timely pay the penalty amount, may result in: A negative impact on compliance history; Greater scrutiny of any permit applications Referral of this case to the Attorney Gen penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a colle Increased penalties in any future enforcem 	neral's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional ection agency; nent actions; l's Office of any future enforcement actions; and y law.
Signature	7-5-08 Date
Name (Printed or typed) Authorized Representative of	Title Company Dep.

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.

C & L Diamond Liquor, Inc. dba Diamond Famous Fried Chicken